

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/673,166	09/30/2003	Max Rombouts	71247-0010	9819
22902	7590 08/10/2006		EXAMINER	
CLARK & BRODY			LAZORCIK, JASON L	
1090 VERMONT AVENUE, NW SUITE 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s	s)	
		10/673,166	ROMBOUTS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jason L. Lazorcik	1731		
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	f this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et with the corresponder	nce address	
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply is specified about a failure to reply within the set or external and the period patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING D under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ng date of this communication. ve, the maximum statutory period ded period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailin	NATE OF THIS COMMI 136(a). In no event, however, m will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	UNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	of this communication. 33).	
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application closed in accordance 	2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final. ince except for formal i	•		
Disposition of Claims		•			
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 8) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subj Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is obj	(s) is/are withdra allowed. rejected. objected to. ect to restriction and/or ected to by the Examine	wn from consideration election requirement. er.			
	st that any objection to the neet(s) including the correct	drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 wing(s) is objected to. See	37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	rawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Applicatio	on (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/673,166 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-21, drawn to a method of fabricating a hollow glass article, classified in class 66, subclass 77.
- II. Claim 22 through 32, drawn to an installation for fabricating a hollow glass article, classified in class 65, subclass 242.
- III. Claim 33, drawn to a hollow glass article, classified in class 633, subclass 719.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be utilized to manufacture a hollow plastic body.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

Application/Control Number: 10/673,166

Art Unit: 1731

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the hollow glass article could be manufactured by a materially different process such as blowing in an I. S. type mold.

Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus could be utilized to manufacture a plastic hollow body.

A telephone call was made to Chris Brody on August 3, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

Application/Control Number: 10/673,166 Page 4

Art Unit: 1731

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason L. Lazorcik whose telephone number is (571) 272-2217. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,166

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLL

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 Page 5